## LMI-based Mixed $H_2/H_{\infty}$ Control with Regional Constraints for Spacecraft Attitude Tracking

Takahiro Sasaki<sup>1\*</sup>, Takashi Shimomura<sup>1</sup> and Sayaka Kanata<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Osaka Prefecture University, Aerospace Engineering, Japan aero2021@gmail.com

Keyword : Attitude Control, LPV, LMI, Gain-Scheduled Control, Regional Constraint

Satellite dynamics is described by a nonlinear differential equation. Most of recent studies about attitude control have used non-linear controllers. However, with these controllers, control performance is ignored in most cases. To overcome this problem, we applied Linear Parameter-Varying (LPV) theory to attitude control [1]. In this paper, we deal with mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  control with regional pole constraints as follows:

1)  $\alpha$  stabilization constraint:

$$(AX - BW) + (\cdot)^T + 2\alpha X < 0 \tag{1}$$

2) Circle stabilization constraint:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -rX & AX - BW \\ * & -rX \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{2}$$

3) Conic-sector stabilization constraint:  $\begin{bmatrix} \sin\theta \left\{ (AX - BW) + (\cdot)^T \right\} & \cos\theta \left\{ (AX - BW) - (\cdot)^T \right\} \\ * & \sin\theta \left\{ (AX - BW) + (\cdot)^T \right\} \end{bmatrix} < 0$ (3)

Figure 1 shows the region of Eqs. (1)-(3) and in Fig. 2, the upper figure shows a simulation result of the error quaternion of a spacecraft by the mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  controller. On the other hand, the lower one shows that of the mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  controller with the regional pole constraints.

From these figures, compared with the former one, the later one has achieved better performance.



Fig. 2 Control results of the error quaternion with or without regional constraints.

## References

[1] Sasaki, T, Shimomura, T. and Kanata, S., Spacecraft Attitude Control with RWs via LPV Control Theory: Comparison of Two Different Methods in One Framework, Transaction of JSASS, Vol. 14 (2016) No. ists30 pp. 15-20.